

# NM EQIP FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - \_\_\_\_\_ F.O.

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's. \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_\_ Final Rating \_\_\_\_\_

## 1. Plants - 70 Potential Points (25-50% of Total)

If using this method of evaluation use stocking rate based on MLRA, etc. <u>OR</u> stocking rate for irrigated lands. Do not use both.		% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment.	Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points
<b>Grazing Plan</b>	Intensive Rotation			20		
	Seasonal Use			10		
	Continuous Use			0	0	0
<b>Deferrment Period</b>	>90% of Growing Season			25		
	51-90% of Growing Season			15		
	26-50% of Growing Season			5		
	0-25% of Growing Season			0		
<b>Stocking Rate Based On: MLRA _____ SITE _____ SI: 26-50</b>	>5.8 acres/aum			25		
	4.4 - 5.8 acres/aum			15		
	3.6 - 4.3 acres/aum			5		
	<3.6 acres/aum			0		
<b>(Irr Lands) Stocking Rate Based on Soils Data &amp; Ag Tech Note 41</b>	>4.0 acres/aum			25		
	2.6 - 4.0 acres/aum			15		
	1.0 - 2.5 acxres/aum			5		
	<1.0 acres/aum			0		
<b>1. Plants</b>				<b>Total:</b>		

## 2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 110 Potential Points (25-65% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.		Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
<b>Soil Erosion</b>				
Livestock Pipeline (516) Pond (378) Trough (614) Sheet & Rill Erosion		10		
Grade Stabilization Structure (410) Classic Gully & Streambank Erosion		10		
Fence (382) Sheet & Rill Erosion		20		
<b>Water Quality</b>				
Grade Stabilization Structure (410) Surface Water Contaminants, Sediment		10		
Brush Management (314) Surface Water Contaminants, Sediment		10		
Fence (382) Surface Water Contaminants, Sediment		20		
<b>Plants</b>				
Brush Management (314) Condition, Productivity		10		
<b>Air</b>				
Range Planting (550) Airborne Dust		10		
<b>Animals</b>				
Riparian Forest Buffer (392) Tree & Shrub Establishemnt (612) Habitat Cover		10		
<b>2. Conservation Practice Selection</b>		<b>Total:</b>		

## 3. Other Considerations - 20 Potential Points (10-25% of Total)

# NM EQIP FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - \_\_\_\_\_ F.O.

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to <u>recommend</u> based on LWG advice, please include them as item E.	Potential Points	Benchmark Points	After Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced.	5	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	5	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 319 project.	5	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.	5	0	
<b>3. Other Considerations</b>	<b>Total:</b>	<b>0</b>	

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Section 1 \_\_\_\_\_ Section 2 \_\_\_\_\_ Section 3 \_\_\_\_\_ Total for Worksheet \_\_\_\_\_ \*

**\*A minimum of 40 total points must be earned to be considered for contract selection.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Designated Conservationist

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Revised Nov. 2004